

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Southern District of Indiana

United States of America

v.

Adam R. Lett

)
)
)
)
)

Case No: 2:10CR00026-010

USM No: 09856-028

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Original Judgment: 09/30/2011
Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)


Mr. Lett's sentence was imposed pursuant to an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement not tied to a guideline calculation; therefore, he is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782.



Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 3/8/2017



Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)